

Jacobi's Generalization of Cramer's Formula

MICHAEL TAYLOR

If A is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix, Cramer's formula gives A^{-1} in terms of $\det A$ and the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ minors of A (or better, of A^t). There is a generalization, due to Jacobi, relating the $k \times k$ minors of A^{-1} to the $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ minors of A^t and $\det A$, which we derive here.

We take an invariant point of view. Let V be an n -dimensional vector space, over a field \mathbb{F} (typically \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}), with dual V' . Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ be linear, with transpose $A^t : V' \rightarrow V'$. Take $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. We bring in the isomorphism

$$(1) \quad \kappa : \Lambda^k V \otimes \Lambda^n V' \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda^{n-k} V',$$

given by

$$(2) \quad \kappa(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \otimes \alpha)(w_1, \dots, w_{n-k}) = \alpha(w_1, \dots, w_{n-k}, v_1, \dots, v_k),$$

where an element of $\Lambda^k V'$ is viewed as a k -multilinear antisymmetric functional on V .

REMARK. A choice of basis of V yields isomorphisms $V \approx V'$ and $\Lambda^n V' \approx \mathbb{F}$, and then κ becomes essentially the Hodge star operator.

We aim to prove the following.

Proposition. *If A is invertible, then*

$$(3) \quad (\det A) \Lambda^k A^{-1} \otimes I = \kappa^{-1} \circ \Lambda^{n-k} A^t \circ \kappa,$$

in $\text{End}(\Lambda^k V \otimes \Lambda^n V')$.

Proof. Since

$$(4) \quad \Lambda^n A^t = (\det A) I \text{ in } \text{End}(\Lambda^n V'),$$

the desired identity (3) is equivalent to

$$(5) \quad (\Lambda^{n-k} A^t) \circ \kappa = \kappa \circ (\Lambda^k A^{-1} \otimes \Lambda^n A^t),$$

in $\text{Hom}(\Lambda^k V \otimes \Lambda^n V', \Lambda^{n-k} V')$. Note that $\Lambda^{n-k} A^t \in \text{End}(\Lambda^{n-k} V')$ is defined by

$$(6) \quad (\Lambda^{n-k} A^t)\beta(w_1, \dots, w_{n-k}) = \beta(Aw_1, \dots, Aw_{n-k}).$$

Hence, if we take $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \otimes \alpha \in \Lambda^k V \otimes \Lambda^n V'$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\Lambda^{n-k} A^t) \kappa(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \otimes \alpha)(w_1, \dots, w_{n-k}) \\
 (7) \quad & = \kappa(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \otimes \alpha)(Aw_1, \dots, Aw_{n-k}) \\
 & = \alpha(Aw_1, \dots, Aw_{n-k}, v_1, \dots, v_k).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$(8) \quad (\Lambda^k A^{-1} \otimes \Lambda^n A^t)(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \otimes \alpha) = (A^{-1}v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge A^{-1}v_k) \otimes (\Lambda^n A^t \alpha),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \kappa \circ (\Lambda^k A^{-1} \otimes \Lambda^n A^t)(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \otimes \alpha)(w_1, \dots, w_{n-k}) \\
 (9) \quad & = \kappa(A^{-1}v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge A^{-1}v_k \otimes \Lambda^n A^t \alpha)(w_1, \dots, w_{n-k}) \\
 & = (\Lambda^n A^t \alpha)(w_1, \dots, w_{n-k}, A^{-1}v_1, \dots, A^{-1}v_k) \\
 & = \alpha(Aw_1, \dots, Aw_{n-k}, v_1, \dots, v_k),
 \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with the right side of (7). This completes the proof.

REMARK. For a classical approach (I'm not saying a digestible approach), see [G], pp. 21–22. For a further generalization, see [MB].

References

- [G] F. Gantmacher, *The Theory of Matrices*, Vol. 1, Chelsea, 1959.
- [MB] J. Miao and A. Ben-Israel, Minors of the Moore-Penrose inverse, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 195 (1993), 191–208.