

Gibbs Phenomena and Pinsky Phenomena for Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations

MICHAEL TAYLOR

Contents

1. Linear Schrödinger equations
 2. Fresnel integrals and “Gibbs phenomenon”
 3. Pinsky phenomenon for $e^{it\Delta}$ – first look
 4. Basic asymptotics
 5. Gibbs phenomenon
 6. Nonlinear Schrödinger equations
 7. Case $n = 1$
 8. Case $n \geq 2$
 9. Some results of Ben Dodson
- References

1. Linear Schrödinger equation

Fourier transform

$$(1.1) \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

Fourier inversion formula

$$(1.2) \quad f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

Heat semigroup

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{t\Delta} f(x) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int e^{-t|\xi|^2} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \\ &= (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int e^{-|y|^2/4t} f(x-y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Analytic continuation yields

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{it\Delta} f(x) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int e^{-it|\xi|^2} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \\ &= (4\pi it)^{-n/2} \int e^{-|y|^2/4it} f(x-y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Generalization

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} L &= a_1 \partial_1^2 + \cdots + a_n \partial_n^2, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0, \\ e^{itL} f(x) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int e^{-itQ_a(\xi)} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \\ &= A^{-1/2} (4\pi it)^{-n/2} \int e^{-Q_a(y)/4it} f(x-y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q^a(\xi) &= a_1 \xi_1^2 + \cdots + a_n \xi_n^2, \\ Q_a(y) &= \frac{y_1^2}{a_1} + \cdots + \frac{y_n^2}{a_n}, \quad A = a_1 \cdots a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Application to stationary phase method:

$$(1.6) \quad I(\lambda) = \int_{\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda\psi(x)} a(x) dx, \quad a \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

One non-degenerate critical point.

Make change of variable so $\psi(x) = Q_a(x)$. Take $\lambda = 1/4t$. Then

$$(1.7) \quad I(\lambda) = (a_1 \cdots a_n)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\pi i}{\lambda}\right)^{n/2} e^{itL} f(0),$$

where $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We have

$$(1.8) \quad e^{itL} f \longrightarrow f \text{ in } \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$.

2. Fresnel integral and “Gibbs phenomenon”

Take $n = 1$, $f = \chi_I$, $I = [-1, 1]$. We have

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{it\Delta} \chi_I(x) &= (4\pi it)^{-1/2} \int_{x-1}^{x+1} e^{-y^2/4it} dy \\ &= \text{Fr}\left(\frac{x+1}{\sqrt{4t}}\right) - \text{Fr}\left(\frac{x-1}{\sqrt{4t}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Fr}(\lambda)$ is the Fresnel integral:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Fr}(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi i}} \int_0^\lambda e^{iy^2} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi i}} \int_{-1}^1 e^{i\lambda^2 y^2} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Stationary phase applies at $y = 0$.

Change of variable and Fourier analysis apply at $y = \pm 1$.

Get Fourier transform, at frequency λ^2 , of function with jump.

Asymptotics:

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Fr}(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2} \text{sgn } \lambda \sim e^{i\lambda^2} \sum_{\nu \geq 0} a_\nu \lambda^{-1-2\nu},$$

as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$.

Another formula:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Fr}(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi it}} \int_{-1}^1 e^{iy^2/4t} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{it\partial_y^2} \chi_{[-1,1]}(0), \quad \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4t}} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

3. Pinsky phenomenon for $e^{it\Delta}$ – first look

Using spherical polar coordinates gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad e^{it\Delta} f(x) &= A_{n-1} (4\pi it)^{-n/2} \int_0^\infty \bar{f}_x(r) e^{ir^2/4t} r^{n-1} dr \\
 &= \frac{A_{n-1}}{2} (4\pi it)^{-n/2} \int_0^\infty \bar{f}_x(s^{1/2}) e^{is/4t} s^{n/2-1} ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{f}_x(r) = \text{mean value of } f \text{ on } \partial B_r(x).$$

Case $n = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad e^{it\Delta} f(x) &= (4it)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \bar{f}_x(s^{1/2}) e^{is/4t} ds \\
 &= \bar{f}_x(0) + \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \bar{f}_x(s^{1/2}) e^{is/4t} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Special case:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad e^{it\Delta} \chi_D(0) &= 1 + \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \chi_{[0,1]}(s) e^{is/4t} ds \\
 &= 1 - e^{i/4t},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| \leq 1\}.$$

Have oscillatory divergence as $t \rightarrow 0$.

REMARK. For Fourier inversion, oscillatory divergence at $n = 3$.

4. Basic asymptotics

Take $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, smoothly bounded, and

$$(4.1) \quad f(x) = F(x)\chi_\Omega(x), \quad F \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{it\Delta} f(x) &= (4\pi it)^{-n/2} \int_{\Omega} e^{i|x-y|^2/4t} f(y) dy \\ &= C\lambda^{n/2} \int_{\Omega} e^{i\lambda\psi(x,y)} f(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(4.3) \quad \psi(x, y) = |x - y|^2, \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{4t}.$$

Note that

$$(4.4) \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \implies e^{it\Delta} f \rightarrow f \text{ in } \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, so we can focus on the case where

$$(4.5) \quad \text{supp } f \subset \bar{\Omega} \cap \mathcal{O}, \quad \mathcal{O} = B_\varepsilon(p), \quad p \in \partial\Omega.$$

First take $x \in K$, a compact set disjoint from $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \cap \bar{\Omega}$.

Suppress the x dependence in (4.2), and write

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} I(f, \lambda) &= \int_{\Omega} e^{i\lambda\psi(y)} f(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_0^1 e^{i\lambda\psi(s,z)} f(s, z) J(s, z) ds dS(z). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1. *If there exists a vector field X , tangent to $\partial\Omega$, such that $X\psi \neq 0$ on \mathcal{O} , then*

$$(4.7) \quad I(f, \lambda) = O(\lambda^{-k}), \quad \forall k,$$

as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. $e^{i\lambda\psi} = (i\lambda X\psi)^{-1} X e^{i\lambda\psi} = L(\lambda) e^{i\lambda\psi}$, so

$$(4.8) \quad I(f, \lambda) = \int_{\Omega} e^{i\lambda\psi(y)} (L(\lambda)^t)^k f(y) dy = O(\lambda^{-k}).$$

If Lemma 4.1 does not apply, then we can assume

$$(4.9) \quad \partial_s \psi(s, z) \neq 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{O}.$$

Then elementary Fourier analysis gives

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 e^{i\lambda\psi(s,z)} f(s, z) J(s, z) ds \\ & \sim e^{i\lambda\psi(0,z)} \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k(z) \lambda^{-1-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging into (4.6) and restoring x -dependence, we get

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{it\Delta} f(x) & \sim \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda^{n/2-1-k} e^{i\lambda|x|^2} \\ & \times \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{i\lambda(|z|^2-2x \cdot z)} a_k(x, z) dS(z), \end{aligned}$$

as

$$t = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \rightarrow 0.$$

Valid uniformly for $x \in K$, compact set disjoint from $\text{supp } f$.

The analysis of (4.11) splits into several cases.

A. Non-caustic region \mathcal{C}_0

$\mathcal{C}_0 =$ set of x such that $\psi_x(z) = \psi(x, z)$, as a function of $x \in \partial\Omega$, has only non-degenerate critical points, say at $p_\ell(x) \in \partial\Omega$.

Stationary phase method yields

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{i\lambda\psi(x,z)} a_k(x, z) dS(z) \\ & \sim \lambda^{-(n-1)/2} \sum_{\ell} e^{i\lambda\psi(x, p_\ell(x))} \sum_{m \geq 0} a_{k\ell m}(x) \lambda^{-m}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, on \mathcal{C}_0 ,

$$(4.13) \quad e^{it\Delta} f(x) \sim \sum_{\ell} e^{i\psi(x, p_\ell(x))/4t} \sum_{k \geq 0} b_{k\ell}(x) t^{k+1/2}.$$

Leading term is $O(t^{1/2})$. (Recall $x \neq \text{supp } f$.)

B. Fold set, \mathcal{C}_1

$$(4.14) \quad e^{it\Delta} f(x) \sim e^{i\theta(x)/4t} \left[Ai\left(\frac{\rho(x)}{(4t)^{2/3}}\right) \sum_{k \geq 0} b_{0k}(x) t^{k+1/3} + Ai'\left(\frac{\rho(x)}{(4t)^{2/3}}\right) \sum_{k \geq 0} b_{1k}(x) t^{k+2/3} \right].$$

Here $\rho(x) = 0$ on \mathcal{C}_1 , and $Ai(s)$ is the Airy function:

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} Ai(s) &\sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} s^{-1/4} e^{-(2/3)s^{3/2}}, \\ Ai(-s) &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} s^{-1/4} \cos\left(\frac{2}{3}s^{3/2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right), \end{aligned}$$

as $s \rightarrow +\infty$.

Leading term is $O(t^{1/3})$.

C. Higher order stable caustics

Leading term $O(t^{1/4})$ for a cusp,

$O(t^{1/(k+2)})$ for other stable caustics.

D. Perfect focus caustics. $\Omega = B_a$.

Then (4.11) applies, with $|z|^2 = a^2$ on ∂B_a .

On $B_b \subset\subset B_a$, one has (given $f \equiv 0$ on B_b)

$$(4.16) \quad e^{it\Delta} f(x) \sim e^{i(|x|^2+a^2)/4t} \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{\alpha}_k\left(x, \frac{x}{2t}\right) t^{-n/2+1+k},$$

where

$$(4.17) \quad \hat{\alpha}_k(x, \xi) = \int_{\partial B_a} e^{-i\xi \cdot z} a_k(x, z) dS(z).$$

$\hat{\alpha}_k \in C^\infty$, and stationary phase method gives

$$(4.18) \quad \hat{\alpha}_k(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm ia|\xi|} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \alpha_{k\ell}^\pm\left(x, a \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right) |\xi|^{-(n-1)/2-\ell},$$

as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. In particular (if $f \equiv 0$ near 0),

$$(4.19) \quad e^{it\Delta} f(0) \sim e^{ia^2/4t} \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{\alpha}_k(0, 0) t^{-n/2+1+k}.$$

Note that

$$(4.16) \quad n = 2 \Rightarrow -\frac{n}{2} + 1 = 0, \quad n = 3 \Rightarrow -\frac{n}{2} + 1 = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Recall from §3 that

$$(4.17) \quad \text{For } n = 2, \quad e^{it\Delta} \chi_D(0) = 1 - e^{i/4t}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *In case $n \geq 3$,*

$$(4.18) \quad \sup_{|t| \leq 1} \|e^{it\Delta} \chi_B\|_{L^p} < \infty \iff 2 \leq p \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}.$$

5. Gibbs phenomenon

Given $f = F\chi_\Omega$, supported in a small neighborhood \mathcal{O} of $p \in \partial\Omega$, it remains to investigate $e^{it\Delta}f(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{O}$.

New approach:

$$(5.1) \quad e^{it\Delta}f(x) = (4\pi it)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{is^2/4t} u(s, x) ds,$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad u(s, x) = \cos s\sqrt{-\Delta} f(x),$$

solution to the wave equation. Special case of

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi(\sqrt{-\Delta}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}(s) \cos s\sqrt{-\Delta} ds,$$

for even φ . Take $\varphi(\lambda) = \varphi_t(\lambda) = e^{-it\lambda^2}$.

Equivalent to (5.1) is

$$(5.4) \quad e^{it\Delta}f(x) = e^{it\partial_s^2} u(s, x) \Big|_{s=0}.$$

Progressing wave expansion for $u(s, x)$. Set

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega), & x \in \mathcal{O} \cap \Omega, \\ &= -\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega), & x \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Then $u(s, x) \sim$

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &A_0(s, x)\chi_+(\psi(x) - s) + \sum_{j \geq 1} A_j(s, x)\chi_+^j(\psi(x) - s) \\ &+ A_0(-s, x)\chi_+(\psi(x) + s) + \sum_{j \geq 1} A_j(-s, x)\chi_+^j(\psi(x) + s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5.7) \quad A_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{O}), \quad \chi_+(s) = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}(s), \quad \chi_j^+(s) = s^j \chi_+(s).$$

Now,

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &e^{it\partial_s^2} A_0(s, x)\chi_+(\psi(x) - s) \\ &= A_0(\psi(x), x) \left[\text{Fr} \left(\frac{\psi(x) - s}{\sqrt{4t}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right] + \text{lower order terms.} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.1. *Take $f = F\chi_\Omega$, $F \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$.
For $x \in \mathcal{O}$, $t \in (-1, 1)$,*

$$(5.9) \quad e^{it\Delta} f(x) = 2A_0(\psi(x), x) \left[\text{Fr} \left(\frac{\psi(x)}{\sqrt{4t}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right] + R(t, x),$$

with $a_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{O})$ and, as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$(5.10) \quad R(t, x) \rightarrow f(x) - 2A_0(\psi(x), x)\chi_\Omega(x), \quad \text{uniformly on } \mathcal{O}.$$

NOTE. By (5.6),

$$(5.11) \quad x \in \partial\Omega \Rightarrow 2A_0(\psi(x), x) = 2A_0(0, x) = f(x).$$

Hence the right side of (5.10) is piecewise smooth and Lipschitz continuous.

6. Nonlinear Schrödinger equations

$$(6.1) \quad u_t = i\Delta u + F(u) \text{ on } I \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u(0) = f.$$

Assume $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is smooth and

$$(6.2) \quad F(0) = 0, \quad DF(0) = 0.$$

Hence

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |u| \leq A &\Rightarrow |F(u)| \leq C(A), \quad C(A)|u|, \quad C(A)|u|^2, \\ |DF(u)| &\leq C(A), \quad C(A)|u|. \end{aligned}$$

Assume

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} f &\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ if } n = 1, \\ f &\in H^{\sigma,2}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \sigma > \frac{n}{2} - 1 \text{ if } n \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.5) \quad \|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L^\infty} \leq A, \quad |t| \leq T_1,$$

for some $T_1 > 0$. Rewrite (6.1) as

$$(6.6) \quad u(t) = e^{it\Delta} f + \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(u(s)) ds.$$

Write

$$(6.7) \quad u(t) = u_0(t) + v(t), \quad u_0(t) = e^{it\Delta} f.$$

Get equation for v :

$$(6.8) \quad v(t) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(u_0(s) + v(s)) ds = \Psi v(t).$$

Want to solve this, estimate v , and estimate the remainder w in

$$(6.9) \quad u(t) = u_0(t) + \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(u_0(s)) ds + w(t).$$

Theorem 6.1. *For some $T_0 > 0$, we have a solution*

$$(6.10) \quad u \in C([0, T_0], H^{\sigma, 2}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty([0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^n),$$

with $\sigma > n/2 - 1$ ($\sigma = 0$ if $n = 1$). Also, for $n = 1$,

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq Ct, & \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq Ct^{1/2}, \\ \|w(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq Ct^2, & \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq Ct^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 2$, $\delta > 0$,

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|_{H^{\sigma, 2}} &\leq Ct, & \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C_\delta t^{1+\sigma-n/2-\delta}, \\ \|w(t)\|_{H^{\sigma, 2}} &\leq C_\delta t^{2+\sigma-n/2-\delta}, \\ \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C_\delta t^{2(1+\sigma-n/2-\delta)}, \end{aligned}$$

provided also $\sigma < n/2$.

Tools:

Dispersive estimates.

$$(6.13) \quad \|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-n/2} \|f\|_{L^1}, \quad \|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Interpolation gives

$$(6.14) \quad \|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L^p} \leq Ct^{-n(1/2-1/p)} \|f\|_{L^{p'}}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

Moser estimates

$$(6.15) \quad F(0) = 0, \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq A \Rightarrow \|F(u)\|_{H^{s,p}} \leq C_{sp}(A) \|u\|_{H^{s,p}}.$$

Christ-Weinstein estimates

$$(6.16) \quad \|uv\|_{H^{\sigma, a}} \leq C \|u\|_{L^q} \|v\|_{H^{\sigma, r}} + C \|u\|_{H^{\sigma, r}} \|v\|_{L^q},$$

with $\sigma > 0$ and

$$(6.17) \quad \frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}, \quad r \in (1, \infty), \quad q \in (1, \infty], \quad a \in (1, \infty).$$

7. Case $n = 1$

Assume $\|f\|_{L^2} \leq A$, $\|e^{it\Delta}f\|_{L^\infty} \leq A$. Set

$$(7.1) \quad X = \{v \in C([0, T_0], L^2) : \|v(t)\|_{L^2} \leq A, \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq A\}.$$

Claim $\exists T_0 > 0$ such that

$$(7.2) \quad \Psi : X \longrightarrow X$$

is a contraction map, where (with $u_0(t) = e^{it\Delta}f$)

$$(7.3) \quad \Psi v(t) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(u_0(s) + v(s)) ds.$$

Estimates (given $v \in X$):

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Psi v(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \int_0^t \|F(u_0(s) + v(s))\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq C(A) \int_0^t \|u_0(s) + v(s)\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq C'(A)t, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Psi v(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \int_0^t |t-s|^{-1/2} \|F(u_0(s) + v(s))\|_{L^1} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t |t-s|^{-1/2} \|u_0(s) + v(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq C(A)t^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now (7.4)–(7.5) $\Rightarrow \Psi : X \rightarrow X$ if T_0 is small.

Contraction estimates use

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Psi v(t) - \Psi v_0(t) \\ &= \int_0^t e^{i(s-t)\Delta} [F(u_0(s) + v(s)) - F(u_0(s) + v_0(s))] ds \\ &= \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} [R(s)(v(s) - v_0(s))] ds, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(7.7) \quad R(s) = \int_0^1 DF(u_0(s) + \tau v(s) + (1-\tau)v_0(s)) d\tau.$$

Recall

$$(7.8) \quad |u| \leq A \Rightarrow |DF(u)| \leq C(A) \text{ and } |DF(u)| \leq C(A)|u|.$$

Contraction estimates (given $v, v_0 \in X$):

$$(7.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\Psi v(t) - \Psi v_0(t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|R(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|v(s) - v_0(s)\|_{L^2} ds \\ & \leq C(A)t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|v(s) - v_0(s)\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\Psi v(t) - \Psi v_0(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C \int_0^t |t-s|^{-1/2} \|R(s)(v(s) - v_0(s))\|_{L^1} ds \\ & \leq C(A) \int_0^t |t-s|^{-1/2} \|u_0(s) + \tau v(s) + (1-\tau)v_0(s)\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad \times \|v(s) - v_0(s)\|_{L^2} ds \\ & \leq C(A)t^{1/2} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|v(s) - v_0(s)\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $T_0 > 0$ small, Ψ is a contraction in (7.2).

This gives existence in Theorem 6.1.

The solution $v(t) = \Psi v(t)$ thus has bounds (7.4)–(7.5).

This gives the first line of the estimates in (6.11).

Note that $w(t)$ in (6.9) is given by

$$(7.11) \quad w(t) = \Psi v(t) - \Psi v_0(t), \quad \text{with } v_0 \equiv 0.$$

Hence (7.9)–(7.10) imply the second line of estimates in (6.11).

8. Case $n \geq 2$

Assume $\|f\|_{H^{\sigma,2}} \leq A$, $\|e^{it\Delta}f\|_{L^\infty} \leq A$. Set

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{aligned} X &= \{v \in C([0, T_0], H^{\sigma,2}) \\ &: \|v(t)\|_{H^{\sigma,2}} \leq A, \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Constraints on σ derived below.

Claim there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$(8.2) \quad \Psi : X \longrightarrow X$$

is a contraction map, where (with $u_0(t) = e^{it\Delta}f$)

$$(8.3) \quad \Psi v(t) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(u_0(s) + v(s)) ds.$$

Estimates (given $v \in X$):

$$(8.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Psi v(t)\|_{H^{\sigma,2}} &\leq \int_0^t \|F(u_0(s) + v(s))\|_{H^{\sigma,2}} ds \\ &\leq C(A) \int_0^t \|u_0(s) + v(s)\|_{H^{\sigma,2}} ds \\ &\leq C'(A)t. \end{aligned}$$

The second line follows from a Moser estimate.

Next, pick a, b such that

$$(8.5) \quad \sigma b > n, \quad b > 2, \quad a = b'.$$

Then $\|\Psi v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq$

$$(8.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &C \|\Psi v(t)\|_{H^{\sigma,b}} \\ &\leq C \int_0^t |t-s|^{-n(1/2-1/b)} \|F(u_0(s) + v(s))\|_{H^{\sigma,a}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

For this to be useful, we need

$$(8.7) \quad n\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{b}\right) < 1, \text{ i.e., } b < \frac{2n}{n-2} = \frac{n}{n/2-1}.$$

Say

$$(8.8) \quad b = \frac{n}{\sigma - \delta}, \quad \delta \ll \sigma.$$

Need

$$(8.8A) \quad \frac{n}{2} - 1 < \sigma < \frac{n}{2}.$$

Then (8.5) and (8.7) hold.

To estimate right side of (8.6), use

$$(8.9) \quad F(u) = R(u, 0)u, \quad R(u, 0) = \int_0^t DF(\tau u) d\tau.$$

Then $R(0, 0) = 0$ and (by Moser estimates)

$$(8.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L^\infty}, \|u\|_{H^{\sigma, 2}} \leq A \\ \implies & \|R(u, 0)\|_{H^{\sigma, 2}}, \|R(u, 0)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(A). \end{aligned}$$

Use Christ-Weinstein estimate to get

$$(8.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|R(u, 0)u\|_{H^{\sigma, a}} \\ & \leq C\|R(u, 0)\|_{L^q} \|u\|_{H^{\sigma, r}} + C\|R(u, 0)\|_{H^{\sigma, r}} \|u\|_{L^q}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(8.12) \quad \frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}, \quad r \in (1, \infty), \quad q \in (1, \infty].$$

Take

$$(8.13) \quad r = 2, \quad \text{so } \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{b}.$$

(Recall $b = a'$.) By (8.8)–(8.8A),

$$(8.14) \quad q = \frac{2n}{n - 2\sigma + 2\delta}, \quad \text{so } 2 < q < \infty.$$

Hence $\|g\|_{L^q} \leq \|g\|_{L^2} + \|g\|_{L^\infty}$, so

$$(8.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L^\infty}, \|u\|_{H^{\sigma, 2}} \leq A \\ \implies & \|F(u)\|_{H^{\sigma, a}} \leq C\|R(u, 0)\|_{L^q} \|u\|_{H^{\sigma, 2}} \\ & \quad + C\|R(u, 0)\|_{H^{\sigma, 2}} \|u\|_{L^q} \\ & \leq C(A). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (8.6) yields, for $v \in X$,

$$(8.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Psi v(t)\|_{L^\infty} & \leq C(A)t^{1-n(1/2-1/b)} \\ & = C(A)t^{1-n/q} \\ & = C(A)t^{1+\sigma-n/2-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Now (8.4) and (8.16) $\Rightarrow \Psi : X \rightarrow X$ if T_0 is small, provided σ satisfies (8.8A).

Similar arguments yield contraction estimates. If $v, v_0 \in X$,

$$(8.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\Psi v(t) - \Psi v_0(t)\|_{H^{\sigma,2}} \\ & \leq C(A)t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|v(s) - v_0(s)\|_{H^{\sigma,2}} + \|v(s) - v_0(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(8.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\Psi v(t) - \Psi v_0(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C(A)t^{1-n(1/2-1/b)} \\ & \quad \times \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|v(s) - v_0(s)\|_{H^{\sigma,2}} + \|v(s) - v_0(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right) \\ & \leq C(A)t^{1+\sigma-n/2-\delta} \\ & \quad \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|v(s) - v_0(s)\|_{H^{\sigma,2}} + \|v(s) - v_0(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $T_0 > 0$ small, Ψ is a contraction in (8.2).

This gives existence in Theorem 6.1.

The function $v(t) = \Psi v(t)$ then satisfies bounds in (8.4) and (8.16). This gives the first line of estimates in (6.12).

Note that $w(t)$ in (6.9) is given by

$$(8.19) \quad w(t) = \Psi v(t) - \Psi v_0(t), \quad \text{with } v_0 \equiv 0.$$

Hence (8.17)–(8.18) imply the second and third lines of estimates in (6.12).

9. Some results of Ben Dodson (JFA, 2010)

$$(9.1) \quad iu_t = -\Delta u + \sigma|u|^2u, \quad \text{on } I \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \sigma = \pm 1.$$

$$(9.2) \quad u(0) = \chi_B, \quad B \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ ball.}$$

Theorem 9.1. *Have local solution*

$$(9.3) \quad u(t) = e^{it\Delta} \chi_B + v(t),$$

$$(9.4) \quad v(t) \in L^\infty([0, T_0], H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

$$(9.5) \quad \|v(t)\|_{H^1} \leq Ct^\delta \text{ (for some } \delta > 0\text{)}.$$

Theorem 9.2. *For $\sigma = +1$ (defocusing), have global solution (9.3), with*

$$(9.6) \quad v \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Linear results + (9.4) \Rightarrow Gibbs phenomenon results
 + (9.5) \Rightarrow Pinsky phenomenon results

Theorem 9.3. *Theorem 9.2 extends to*

$$(9.7) \quad iu_t = -\Delta u + |u|^\alpha u, \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n,$$

provided

$$(9.8) \quad \frac{2}{n} < \alpha < \frac{3}{n-2}, \quad \alpha \leq 2.$$

Part of strategy: show that if (9.8) holds,

$$(9.9) \quad \Psi : \mathfrak{X} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$$

is a contraction, for $T_0 > 0$ small, where Ψ is as in previous sections, and, with $I = [0, T_0]$,

$$(9.10) \quad \mathfrak{X} = \{u \in S^1(I \times \mathbb{R}^n) : \|u\|_{S^1(I \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq B\}.$$

Here,

$$(9.11) \quad \|u\|_{S^1(I \times \mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u\|_{S^0(I \times \mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla_x u\|_{S^0(I \times \mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where

$$(9.12) \quad \|u\|_{S^0(I \times \mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{(p,q) \in \mathcal{A}_n} \|u\|_{L^p(I, L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))},$$

and \mathcal{A}_n is the set of “admissible pairs”:

$$(9.13) \quad (p, q) \in \mathcal{A}_n \Leftrightarrow \frac{2}{p} = n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right), \quad \text{and } 2 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

provided $n \geq 3$.

Example: $(p, q) = (\infty, 2)$.

References

- [1] B. Dodson, Global existence for some radial, low regularity nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* 258 (2010), 2373–2421.
- [2] M. Taylor, Short time behavior of solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one and two space dimensions, *Comm. PDE* 31 (2006), 945–957.
- [3] M. Taylor, Short time behavior of solutions to linear and nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Canad. J. Math.* 60 (2008), 1168–1200.