

Vanishing Viscosity Limit for Navier-Stokes Flows: No-slip Boundary Condition

Navier-Stokes Equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u^\nu + \nabla_{u^\nu} u^\nu + \nabla p^\nu &= \nu \Delta u^\nu, \\ \operatorname{div} u^\nu &= 0, \\ u^\nu(t) &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \\ u^\nu(0, x) &= u_0(x) \text{ given.} \end{aligned} \tag{NS}$$

More generally might take $u^\nu(t) = B(t)$ on $\partial\Omega$, $B(t) \parallel \partial\Omega$ (moving boundary).
Assume

$$u_0 \parallel \partial\Omega.$$

Circularly symmetric 2D flow

JOINT WITH M. LOPES FILHO, A. MAZZUCATO, AND H. NUSSENZVEIG LOPES

$\Omega = D$, disk, or annulus, centered at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

$$u^\nu(t, x) = \frac{\alpha(t)}{2\pi} x^\perp, \quad x \in \partial D.$$

Circular symmetry:

$$u_0(R_\theta x) = R_\theta u_0(x).$$

Implies circular symmetry for all $t > 0$.

Get detailed analysis of convergence

$$u^\nu(t) \rightarrow u^0(t) \equiv u_0,$$

steady solution to the Euler equation.

3D plane parallel channel flow

And related singular perturbation problems

JOINT WITH A. MAZZUCATO

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{(x, y, z) : 0 \leq z \leq 1\} \\ u^\nu(t, x, y, z) &= (v^\nu(t, z), w^\nu(t, x, z), 0) \end{aligned}$$

For such flows, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{u^\nu} u^\nu &= (0, v^\nu(t, z) \partial_x w^\nu(t, x, z), 0) \\ \Rightarrow \operatorname{div} \nabla_{u^\nu} u^\nu &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow p^\nu &\equiv 0 \text{ (WLOG)}. \end{aligned}$$

So NS equations become

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v^\nu}{\partial t} &= \nu \frac{\partial^2 v^\nu}{\partial z^2} \\ \frac{\partial w^\nu}{\partial t} + v^\nu \frac{\partial w^\nu}{\partial x} &= \nu \left(\frac{\partial^2 w^\nu}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w^\nu}{\partial z^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Boundary conditions:

$$v^\nu(t, z) = 0, \quad w^\nu(t, x, z) = 0 \quad \text{for } z = 0, 1.$$

Initial data

$$\begin{aligned} v^\nu(0, z) &= V(z), \quad w^\nu(0, x, z) = W(x, z) \\ V &\in C^\infty(I), \quad W \in C^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{O}}), \quad I = [0, 1], \quad \bar{\mathcal{O}} = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \times [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

(assume periodicity in x , for convenience).

Euler equations:

$$(E) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t u^0 + \nabla_{u^0} u^0 + \nabla p^0 &= 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u^0 &= 0, \quad u^0(t) \parallel \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Plane parallel case:

$$u^0(t, x, y, z) = (v^0(t, z), w^0(t, x, z), 0).$$

Euler equations become

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v^0}{\partial t} &= 0 \Rightarrow v^0(t, z) \equiv V(z) \\ \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial t} + v^0 \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial x} &= 0 \Rightarrow w^0(t, x, z) = W(x - tV(z), z). \end{aligned}$$

Desire convergence results:

$$v^\nu \rightarrow v^0, \quad w^\nu \rightarrow w^0, \quad \text{as } \nu \searrow 0.$$

Convergence $v^\nu \rightarrow v^0$ elementary.

Convergence $w^\nu \rightarrow w^0$ much more subtle.

First attack

Equation for w^ν in (1) has the form

$$\frac{\partial w^\nu}{\partial t} = \nu \Delta w^\nu - X_\nu w^\nu, \quad w^\nu|_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial\mathcal{O}} = 0.$$

Here

$$(2) \quad \Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}, \quad X_\nu = v^\nu(t, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad v^\nu(t, z) = e^{\nu t \partial_z^2} V(z).$$

Rewrite (2) as

$$\frac{\partial w^\nu}{\partial t} = (\nu \Delta - X) w^\nu + (X - X_\nu) w^\nu,$$

where

$$X = V(z) \partial_x.$$

Apply Duhamel's formula:

$$(3) \quad w^\nu(t) = e^{t(\nu \Delta - X)} W + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)(\nu \Delta - X)} [(X - X_\nu) w^\nu(s)] ds.$$

Note:

$$(X - X_\nu) w^\nu(s) = (V(z) - v^\nu(s, z)) \partial_x w^\nu(s, x, z).$$

Note that $w_k^\nu = \partial_x^k w^\nu$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial w_k^\nu}{\partial t} + v^\nu \frac{\partial w_k^\nu}{\partial x} = \nu \Delta w_k^\nu,$$

since ∂_x commutes with X_ν . Also $w_k^\nu|_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \partial \mathcal{O}} = 0$, so maximum principle applies:

$$\|\partial_x w^\nu(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})} \leq \|\partial_x W\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})} \leq K \text{ (say)}.$$

Using positivity of $e^{(t-s)(\nu \Delta - X)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| e^{(t-s)(\nu \Delta - X)} [(V - v^\nu(s)) \partial_x w^\nu(s)] \right| \\ & \leq K e^{(t-s)(\nu \Delta - X)} |V(z) - v^\nu(s, z)| \\ & = K e^{(t-s)\nu \Delta} |V(z) - v^\nu(s, z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & |w^\nu(t, x, z) - e^{t(\nu \Delta - X)} W(x, z)| \\ & \leq K \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\nu \Delta} |V(z) - v^\nu(s, z)| ds \\ & = R^\nu(t, z). \end{aligned}$$

Note: We could replace $e^{(t-s)\nu \Delta}$ in (4) by $e^{(t-s)\nu \partial_z^2}$. There are elementary estimates on $R^\nu(t, z)$.

Second attack

Estimates on $e^{t(\nu\Delta - X)}$

Expand the setting:

- $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ compact Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary $\partial\mathcal{O}$,
- Δ Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$,
- X smooth vector field, $\operatorname{div} X = 0$, $X \parallel \partial\mathcal{O}$.

Note: $\mathcal{D}(\nu\Delta - X)^k = \mathcal{D}(\Delta^k)$ for $k = 1, 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(\Delta) &= H^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{O}), \\ \mathcal{D}(\Delta^2) &= \{u \in H^4(\mathcal{O}) : u, \Delta u \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O})\}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. *There exists K , independent of $\nu \in (0, 1]$, such that for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta^2)$, $u^\nu(t) = e^{t(\nu\Delta - X)} f$,*

$$(5) \quad \|\Delta u^\nu(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq e^{2Kt} \|\Delta f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proof. Estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta u^\nu(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = 2 \operatorname{Re} (\Delta \partial_t u^\nu, \Delta u^\nu)_{L^2}.$$

Convergence result: write $u^\nu(t) = e^{t(\nu\Delta - X)} f$ as solving

$$\partial_t u^\nu = -X u^\nu + \nu \Delta u^\nu, \quad u^\nu(0) = f.$$

Duhamel's formula gives

$$u^\nu(t) = e^{-tX} f + \nu \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)X} \Delta u^\nu(s) ds.$$

Using Lemma 1, one shows:

Proposition 2. *Given $p \in [1, \infty)$, $f \in L^p(\mathcal{O})$, we have*

$$e^{t(\nu\Delta - X)} f \rightarrow f \quad \text{as } \nu \searrow 0,$$

in L^p -norm.

Other estimates from (5), plus interpolation:

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{t(\nu\Delta - X)} f\|_{\mathcal{D}(\Delta)} &\leq e^{Kt} \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}(\Delta)} \\ \Rightarrow \|e^{t(\nu\Delta - X)} f\|_{\mathcal{D}(-\Delta)^{s/2}} &\leq e^{Kt} \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}(-\Delta)^{s/2}}, \quad 0 < s < 2 \\ \Rightarrow \|e^{t(\nu\Delta - X)} f\|_{H^s(\mathcal{O})} &\leq C e^{Kt} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathcal{O})}, \quad 0 < s < 1/2.\end{aligned}$$

Further interpolate with the elementary inequalities

$$\|e^{t(\nu\Delta-X)}f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{O})} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{O})}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

to get

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|e^{t(\nu\Delta-X)}f\|_{H^{\sigma,q}(\mathcal{O})} &\leq C_{\sigma,q} e^{Kt} \|f\|_{H^{\sigma,q}(\mathcal{O})}, \\ 2 \leq q < \infty, \quad \sigma q &\in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3. For σ, q as in (6),

$$f \in H^{\sigma,q}(\mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} e^{t(\nu\Delta-X)}f = e^{-tX}f,$$

in $H^{\sigma,q}$ -norm.

More precise estimates

“Conormal estimates,” yielding strong interior convergence. Set

$$\mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O}) = \{u \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) : Y_1 \cdots Y_j u \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) : \forall j \leq k, Y_\ell \in \mathfrak{X}^1\},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{X}^1 = \{Y \text{ smooth vector field on } \overline{\mathcal{O}} : Y \perp \partial\mathcal{O}\}.$$

Lemma 4. $C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ is dense in $\mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O})$.

Proposition 5. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $e^{t(\nu\Delta-X)}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup on $\mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O})$, and

$$\|e^{t(\nu\Delta-X)}f\|_{\mathcal{V}^k} \leq e^{tB_k} \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}^k},$$

with B_k independent of $\nu \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. Set $u = e^{t(\nu\Delta-X)}f$ and estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|Y^J u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = 2(Y^J \partial_t u, Y^J u)_{L^2},$$

where $Y^J = Y_{j_1} \cdots Y_{j_k}$. One needs to make a careful study of the commutators

$$[\Delta, Y^J].$$

Proposition 6. *In the setting of Proposition 5,*

$$f \in \mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} e^{t(\nu\Delta - X)} f = e^{-tX} f,$$

in norm, in $\mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O})$.

Proof. Use Proposition 5 plus L^2 -norm convergence to get convergence weak* in $\mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O})$. Use denseness of $\mathcal{V}^{2k}(\mathcal{O})$ in $\mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O})$ plus the interpolation result:

$$\mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O}) = [L^2(\mathcal{O}), \mathcal{V}^{2k}(\mathcal{O})]_{1/2},$$

(whose proof is not trivial) to finish off Proposition 6.

Remark. The spaces $\mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O})$ are special cases of “weighted b-Sobolev spaces,” introduced by R. Melrose and used in scattering theory.

Still more precise estimates: exhibiting the boundary layer

Set

$$(7) \quad v^\nu(t) = e^{tX} e^{t(\nu\Delta - X)} f.$$

This solves

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial v^\nu}{\partial t} = \nu L(t)v^\nu, \quad v^\nu(0) = f, \quad v^\nu|_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \partial\mathcal{O}} = 0,$$

where

$$L(t) = e^{tX} \Delta e^{-tX}$$

is a smooth family of strongly elliptic operators on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

Say \mathcal{O} is a smoothly bounded domain in M , a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, and X is extended to a smooth vector field on M . Construct a parametrix for the solution on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times M$ to

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial V^\nu}{\partial t} = \nu L(t)V^\nu, \quad V^\nu(0) = F,$$

valid uniformly for $t \in [0, T_0]$, $\nu \in (0, 1]$, increasingly precise as $\nu \searrow 0$. The construction is a (nontrivial) variant of the heat kernel parametrix construction. It gives

$$(10) \quad V^\nu(t, x) = \int_M H(\nu, t, x, y) F(y) dV(y),$$

where

$$(11) \quad H(\nu, t, x, y) \sim \sum_{k \geq 0} H_k(\nu, t, x, y),$$

$$H_0(\nu, t, x, y) = \frac{\mathcal{G}(t, x)^{1/2}}{(4\pi\nu t)^{n/2}} e^{-\mathcal{G}(t, x)(x-y) \cdot (x-y)/4\nu t}$$

(in local coordinates), the terms for $k \geq 1$ being progressively smaller and smoother.

Then solve (8) by the method of layer potentials. Here is one result.

Proposition 7. *Given $I = [0, T]$, $\delta > 0$, the family v^ν in (7)–(8) satisfies*

$$(12) \quad \|v^\nu - (f - 2\mathcal{D}_\nu^0 f^b)\|_{L^\infty(I \times \mathcal{O})} \leq C(I)\nu^{1/2}\|f\|_{C^{1,\delta}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})},$$

where

$$f^b(t, y) = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}(t)f(y)$$

and \mathcal{D}_ν^0 is the layer potential operator

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{D}_\nu^0 f^b(t, x) = \nu \int_0^t \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} f(y) \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial n_{s,y}}(\nu, s, t, x, y) dS_s(y) ds.$$

Here $\partial/\partial n_{s,y}$ and dS_s are suitable normal derivatives and boundary surface area forms, and H_0 is a variant of H_0 in (11).

Third attack

Return to analysis of

$$(14) \quad \frac{\partial w^\nu}{\partial t} = \nu \Delta w^\nu - X_\nu w^\nu.$$

Here X_ν belongs to a suitable class of t -dependent vector fields, containing the example

$$X_\nu = v^\nu(t, z)\partial_x, \quad v^\nu(t, x) = e^{t\nu\partial_z^2}V(z),$$

when $\overline{\mathcal{O}} = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \times I$. As before,

$$(15) \quad w^\nu|_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \partial \mathcal{O}} = 0, \quad w^\nu(0) = W.$$

Proposition 8. *Given $W \in \mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O})$, there exists a unique solution to (14)–(15), such that*

$$w^\nu \in C([0, \infty), \mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O})) \cap C^\infty((0, \infty) \times \overline{\mathcal{O}}),$$

and we have

$$\|w^\nu(t)\|_{\mathcal{V}^k} \leq e^{tB_k}\|W\|_{\mathcal{V}^k},$$

with B_k independent of $\nu \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. More elaborate variant of that done for $\partial_t u^\nu = (\nu \Delta - X)u^\nu$, in Proposition 5.

Convergence result

Proposition 9. *In the setting of Proposition 8,*

$$(16) \quad W \in \mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow w^\nu(t) \rightarrow e^{-tX}W,$$

in \mathcal{V}^k -norm, as $\nu \searrow 0$.

Proof. L^2 -norm convergence follows from the first two attacks. The \mathcal{V}^k -norm bounds in Proposition 8 then imply weak* convergence in \mathcal{V}^k . Density of $\mathcal{V}^{2k}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{V}^k(\mathcal{O})$ and interpolation then imply norm convergence in (16).