

Karamata's Tauberian Theorem

MICHAEL TAYLOR

1. Basics

Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $[0, \infty)$. Assume $e^{-s\lambda} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mu)$ for each $s > 0$, and assume

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) \sim A\varphi(s), \quad \text{as } s \searrow 0,$$

where $\varphi(s) \nearrow +\infty$ as $s \searrow 0$, and we say

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi(s) \sim A\varphi(s) \iff \Phi(s) = A\varphi(s) + o(\varphi(s)), \quad \text{as } s \searrow 0.$$

Regarding $\varphi(s)$, we will assume that

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi(s) = \mathcal{L}\psi(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda} \psi(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad \psi > 0.$$

The classical examples are

$$(1.4) \quad \psi(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha-1}, \quad \varphi(s) = \Gamma(\alpha)s^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

Slightly more exotic examples are

$$(1.5) \quad \psi(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha-1} \log \lambda, \quad \varphi(s) = (\Gamma'(\alpha) - \Gamma(\alpha) \log s)s^{-\alpha},$$

again for $\alpha > 0$. Actually, in this case $\psi(\lambda) < 0$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, so we would want to cut this off, obtaining

$$(1.6) \quad \psi(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha-1}(\log \lambda)_+, \quad \varphi(s) = \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\log \frac{1}{s} \right) s^{-\alpha} + O(s^{-\alpha}),$$

as $s \searrow 0$. See §2 for another example, in which $\varphi(s) \sim \log 1/s$.

Our goal is to establish (under some natural hypotheses on φ and ψ) that

$$(1.7) \quad \mu([0, R]) = A \int_0^R \psi(\lambda) d\lambda + o(\varphi(R^{-1})), \quad \text{as } R \nearrow +\infty.$$

In case φ and ψ are given by (1.4), this yields the implication

$$(1.8) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) \sim As^{-\alpha}, \quad \text{as } s \searrow 0 \implies \mu([0, R]) \sim \frac{A}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} R^\alpha, \quad \text{as } R \nearrow +\infty,$$

which is the most basic version of the Karamata Tauberian theorem.

We tackle the problem of establishing (1.7) in stages, examining when we can show that

$$(1.9) \quad \int_0^\infty f(s\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) = A \int_0^\infty f(s\lambda)\psi(\lambda) d\lambda + o(\varphi(s)),$$

for various classes of functions $f(\lambda)$, ultimately including

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_I(\lambda) &= 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \\ &= 0 & \text{for } \lambda > 1. \end{aligned}$$

We start with the function space

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{E} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^M \gamma_k e^{-k\lambda} : \gamma_k \in \mathbb{R}, M \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Note that this is an algebra of functions that separates the points of $[0, \infty)$, hence, by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, it is dense in

$$(1.12) \quad C_0([0, \infty)) = \{f \in C([0, \infty)) : f(\infty) = 0\}.$$

Now, if $f \in \mathcal{E}$, say

$$(1.13) \quad f(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^M \gamma_k e^{-k\lambda},$$

then the hypothesis (1.1) implies

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty f(s\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) &= \sum_{k=1}^M \gamma_k \int_0^\infty e^{-sk\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= A \sum_{k=1}^M \gamma_k \varphi(ks) + o\left(\sum_{k=1}^M \varphi(ks)\right) \\ &= A \int_0^\infty f(s\lambda)\psi(\lambda) d\lambda + o(\varphi(s)), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$(1.15) \quad \varphi(ks) \leq \varphi(s), \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

Hence (1.9) holds for all $f \in \mathcal{E}$. The following is the next key result.

Lemma 1.1. *Given (1.1), the result (1.9) holds for all*

$$(1.16) \quad f \in C_0([0, \infty)) \text{ such that } e^\lambda f \in C_0([0, \infty)).$$

Proof. Given such f , and given $\varepsilon > 0$, take $h \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\sup |h(\lambda) - e^\lambda f(\lambda)| \leq \varepsilon$, and set $g = e^{-\lambda} h$, so

$$(1.17) \quad g \in \mathcal{E}, \quad |f(\lambda) - g(\lambda)| \leq \varepsilon e^{-\lambda}.$$

This implies

$$(1.18) \quad \int_0^\infty |f(s\lambda) - g(s\lambda)| d\mu(\lambda) \leq \varepsilon \int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda} d\mu(\lambda)$$

and

$$(1.19) \quad \int_0^\infty |f(s\lambda) - g(s\lambda)| \psi(\lambda) d\lambda \leq \varepsilon \int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda} \psi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

The fact that the right sides of (1.18) and (1.19) are both $\leq C\varepsilon\varphi(s)$, for $s \in (0, 1]$, follows from (1.1) and (1.3), respectively. But we know that (1.9) holds with g in place of f . Hence

$$(1.20) \quad \left| \int_0^\infty f(s\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) - A \int_0^\infty f(s\lambda) \psi(\lambda) d\lambda \right| \leq 2C\varepsilon\varphi(s) + o(\varphi(s)),$$

for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Taking $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ yields the lemma.

We now tackle (1.9) for $f = \chi_I$, given by (1.10). For each $\delta \in (0, 1/2]$, take $f_\delta, g_\delta \in C_0([0, \infty))$ such that

$$(1.21) \quad 0 \leq f_\delta \leq \chi_I \leq g_\delta \leq 1,$$

with

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{aligned} f_\delta(\lambda) &= 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 - \delta, \\ &0 \text{ for } \lambda \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.23) \quad \begin{aligned} g_\delta(\lambda) &= 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \\ &0 \text{ for } \lambda \geq 1 + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Note that Lemma 1.1 is applicable to each f_δ and g_δ . Hence

$$(1.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \chi_I(s\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) &\leq \int_0^\infty g_\delta(s\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= A \int_0^\infty g_\delta(s\lambda) \psi(\lambda) d\lambda + o(\varphi(s)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \chi_I(s\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) &\geq \int_0^\infty f_\delta(s\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= A \int_0^\infty f_\delta(s\lambda)\psi(\lambda) d\lambda + o(\varphi(s)). \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$(1.26) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty [g_\delta(s\lambda) - f_\delta(s\lambda)]\psi(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &\leq \int_{(1-\delta)/s}^{(1+\delta)/s} \psi(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{2\delta}{s} \max\left\{|\psi(\lambda)| : \left|\lambda - \frac{1}{s}\right| \leq \frac{\delta}{s}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We now make the hypothesis that, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $b > 0$, $B < \infty$,

$$(1.27) \quad \max\left\{|\psi(\lambda)| : \left|\lambda - \frac{1}{s}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{s}\right\} \leq Bs\varphi(s), \quad \text{for } 0 < s \leq b.$$

Note that such a condition holds in cases (1.4) and (1.6). When such an estimate holds, (1.26) yields

$$(1.28) \quad \int_0^\infty [g_\delta(s\lambda) - f_\delta(s\lambda)]\psi(\lambda) d\lambda \leq 2B\delta\varphi(s), \quad \text{for } \delta \leq \varepsilon, s \leq b.$$

It then follows from (1.24)–(1.26) that

$$(1.29) \quad \begin{aligned} &\lim_{s \searrow 0} \varphi(s)^{-1} \left| \int_0^\infty \chi_I(s\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) - A \int_0^\infty \chi_I(s\lambda)\psi(\lambda) d\lambda \right| \\ &\leq \inf_{\delta \leq \varepsilon} 2B\delta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following conclusion.

Proposition 1.2. *Let μ be a positive measure on $[0, \infty)$, and assume (1.1)–(1.3) hold, with $\psi \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and that (1.27) holds. Then μ satisfies (1.7).*

The special case (1.8) has already been mentioned. We turn to the case (1.6), for which (1.1) leads to (1.7) with

$$(1.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^R \psi(\lambda) d\lambda &= \int_1^R \lambda^{\alpha-1}(\log \lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_1^R \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \lambda^\alpha\right)(\log \lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} R^\alpha(\log R) + O(R^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following.

Corollary 1.3. *Let μ be a positive measure on $[0, \infty)$. Assume*

$$(1.31) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) \sim A \left(\log \frac{1}{s} \right) s^{-\alpha}, \quad s \searrow 0,$$

with $\alpha > 0$. then

$$(1.32) \quad \mu([0, R]) \sim \frac{A}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} R^\alpha (\log R), \quad R \nearrow +\infty.$$

We mention some other results of Karamata. To state them, let us set

$$(1.33) \quad \Psi(R) = \int_0^R \psi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Here is Karamata's Abelian theorem.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $\psi > 0$. Assume that Ψ , given by (1.33), has the form*

$$(1.34) \quad \Psi(R) = R^\alpha F(R), \quad \text{with } \alpha > 0,$$

where F is slowly varying at ∞ , in the sense that

$$(1.35) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(tR)}{F(R)} = 1,$$

uniformly in t in compact subsets of $(0, \infty)$. Then

$$(1.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\psi(s) &\sim \Gamma(\alpha + 1) s^{-\alpha} F(s^{-1}) \\ &= \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Psi(s^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

as $s \searrow 0$.

Note that (1.4) and (1.6) provide special cases of the functions ψ , considered here, with $F(R) = 1$ and $F(R) = (\log R)_+$, respectively.

The following result is Karamata's Tauberian theorem.

Proposition 1.5. *Take $\psi(\lambda)$ and $\Psi(R)$ as in Proposition 1.4. In particular, assume that (1.34)–(1.35) hold. Let μ be a positive measure on $[0, \infty)$, and assume*

$$(1.37) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) \sim A\varphi(s),$$

as $s \searrow 0$, with

$$(1.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(s) &= \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Psi(s^{-1}) \\ &= \Gamma(\alpha + 1) s^{-\alpha} F(s^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(1.39) \quad \mu([0, R]) \sim A\Psi(R), \quad \text{as } R \nearrow +\infty.$$

2. Another special case

We want to extend the treatment of (1.4) to $\alpha = 0$. Since $\psi(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1}$ is not integrable on $(0, 1]$, we instead take

$$(2.1) \quad \psi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{-1}, & \text{for } \lambda \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{for } 0 < \lambda < 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(s) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda} \psi(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_s^\infty e^{-y} \frac{dy}{y} \\ &= \int_s^1 e^{-y} \frac{dy}{y} + \int_1^\infty e^{-y} \frac{dy}{y} \\ &= \log \frac{1}{s} - \int_s^1 (1 - e^{-y}) \frac{dy}{y} + c \\ &\sim \log \frac{1}{s}, \end{aligned}$$

as $s \searrow 0$.

Let μ be a positive measure on $[0, \infty)$, and assume (1.1) holds, with $\varphi(s)$ given by (2.1)–(2.2). We want to check that Proposition 1.2 holds. Certainly $\psi \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$. It remains to check (1.27). We look at

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{s} \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{s} \right\} &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} : \lambda \geq \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{s} \right\} \\ &= \frac{s}{1 - \varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

while, for some $b > 0$,

$$(2.4) \quad s\varphi(s) \geq \frac{s}{2} \log \frac{1}{s}, \quad \text{for } 0 < s \leq b.$$

Hence (1.27) holds, and we deduce from Proposition 1.2 the following.

Corollary 2.1. *Let μ be a positive measure on $[0, \infty)$. Assume*

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) \sim A \log \frac{1}{s}, \quad s \searrow 0.$$

Then

$$(2.6) \quad \mu([0, R]) \sim A \int_1^R \frac{1}{\lambda} d\lambda = A \log R, \quad R \nearrow +\infty.$$