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## Introduction

These notes were produced in the course of teaching the first semester of the undergraduate Analysis sequence at UNC, as a supplement to the text I used, Introduction to Analysis in One Variable. There are several categories of items. Some beef up homework problems given in the text. Others present a different way to prove some specific result. There are a couple of typos corrected.

One bit of new material concerns an extension of the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} F^{\prime}(t) d t=F(b)-F(a) .
$$

Our extension of the textbook result, presented in the supplement to Section 4.2, concerns cases where $F$ is not differentiable on the whole interval $I=(a, b)$, but rather differentiable on $I \backslash K$, where cont $^{+} K=0$.

## Chapter 1. Numbers

## $\S 1.1$. Another exercise: labeling the elements of $\mathbb{N}$.

9. Complementing the definition of 1 as $s(0)$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
2=s(1), & 3=s(2), & 4=s(3), \\
5=s(4), & 6=s(5), & 7=s(6), \\
8=s(7), & 9=s(8), & 10=s(9) .
\end{array}
$$

From here, one proceeds to express larger integers in decimal notation, such as

$$
20, \quad 25, \quad 251, \quad 2516, \quad 25163
$$

and so on. In general, with $a_{j} \in D=\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9\}$, the string

$$
a_{n} a_{n-1} \cdots a_{1} a_{0}
$$

represents the number

$$
a_{0}+10 a_{1}+\cdots+10^{n} a_{n} .
$$

For example,

$$
25=2 \cdot 10+5, \quad 2516=2 \cdot 10^{3}+5 \cdot 10^{2}+1 \cdot 10+6 .
$$

Establish the following.
Proposition. For each $x \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist unique $n \in \widetilde{\mathbb{N}}$ and $a_{k} \in D, 0 \leq k \leq n$, $a_{n} \neq 0$, such that

$$
x=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} \cdot 10^{k} .
$$

Hint. To start, show that there exists a unique $n \in \widetilde{\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
10^{n} \leq x<10^{n+1}
$$

If $n=0, x \in D$. If $n>0$, show that there exists a unique $a_{n} \in D$ (necessarily nonzero) such that

$$
a_{n} \cdot 10^{n} \leq x \quad \text { and } x-a_{n} \cdot 10^{n}<10^{n} .
$$

Proceed inductively to treat $y=x-a_{n} \cdot 10^{n}$.

## §1.6. Another approach to the proof of Proposition 1.5.6.

In $\S 1.5$ it is proved that $|a|<1 \Rightarrow a^{k} \rightarrow 0$, or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<r<1 \Longrightarrow r^{k} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Here we provide an alternative approach to the proof of (1), using Proposition 1.6.11.

Second proof of (1). Note that $r^{k+1}=r \cdot r^{k}<r^{k}$, so $\left(r^{k}\right)$ is a monotone sequence, satisfying $0<r^{k}<1$, hence a bounded monotone sequence. Proposition 1.6.11 implies this sequence converges,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{k} \longrightarrow s, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We have $s \in[0,1)$. Now (2) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{k+1}=r \cdot r^{k} \longrightarrow r s, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $s=r s$, so $(1-r) s=0$, hence $s=0$, as asserted.

## §1.9. Dense vs. discrete subgroups of $\mathbb{R}$ (exercises).

8. Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a subgroup (cf. (1.3.10)). Assume $G \neq\{0\}$.
(a) Show that either $\{0\}$ is an isolated point of $G$ or $G$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$.

Hint. If $x_{\nu} \in G, x_{\nu} \neq 0,\left|x_{\nu}\right|=\varepsilon_{\nu} \rightarrow 0$, then $\left\{k x_{\nu}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ is " $\varepsilon_{\nu}$-dense" in $\mathbb{R}$.
(b) If $\{0\}$ is isolated, set $\alpha=\inf \{x \in G: x>0\}$, so $\alpha>0$. Show that $\alpha \in G$.

Hint. If $\alpha \notin G$, there exist distinct $\alpha_{\nu} \in G$ such that $\alpha_{\nu} \rightarrow \alpha$, and then $\left\{\alpha_{\nu}-\alpha_{\mu}\right\}$ has 0 as a limit point.
(c) In the setting of part (b), show that

$$
G=\{k \alpha: k \in \mathbb{Z}\} .
$$

9. Suppose $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ is irrational. Show that

$$
\{j+k \xi: j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text { is dense in } \mathbb{R} .
$$

## Chapter 2. Spaces

## §2.3. Another proof that (2.3.4) $\Rightarrow$ (2.3.2).

Section 2.3 discusses the equivalence of several properties of a metric space $X$, including
(2.3.2) Each infinite set $S \subset X$ has an accumulation point,
and
Every open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $X$ has a finite subcover.
Each of these properties expresses compactness of $X$. Here we present an alternative proof that $(2.3 .4) \Rightarrow(2.3 .2)$.

So assume (2.3.4) holds and let $S \subset X$. If $p \in X$ is not an accumulation point of $S$, then there is an open set $\mathcal{O}_{p} \ni p$ that contains at most one point of $S$. If $S$ has no accumulation points, then $\left\{\mathcal{O}_{p}: p \in X\right\}$ is an open cover of $X$, so by (2.3.4) it has a finite subcover

$$
\left\{\mathcal{O}_{p_{j}}: 1 \leq j \leq K\right\} .
$$

Thus $S$ must be finite.

## Chapter 3. Functions

## §3.1. Alternative proof of Proposition 3.1.5.

Proposition 3.1.5. Let $X$ be a compact metric space. Assume $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, one-to-one, and onto. Then the inverse $g: Y \rightarrow X$ is continuous. That is, $f$ is a homeomorphism.
Proof. Assume $f\left(x_{k}\right)=y_{k} \rightarrow y$. We need to show that $x_{k} \rightarrow g(y)$. If not, we can pass to a subsequence and arrange that $d\left(x_{k}, g(y)\right) \geq \alpha>0$ for all $k$. Then $X$ compact $\Rightarrow$ some further subsequence $x_{k} \rightarrow x$. Then $f$ continuous $\Rightarrow f\left(x_{k}\right) \rightarrow f(x)$, hence $f(x)=y$, so $x=g(y)$. Contradiction.

## Chapter 4. Calculus

## §4.1. Alternative approach to the inverse function theorem.

We use the mean value theorem to produce a criterion for constructing the inverse of a function. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f:[a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f(a)=\alpha, \quad f(b)=\beta . \tag{4.1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $f$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, differentiable on $(a, b)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\gamma_{0} \leq f^{\prime}(x) \leq \gamma_{1}<\infty, \quad \forall x \in(a, b) \tag{4.1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can apply Theorem 4.1.2 to $f$, restricted to the interval $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \subset[a, b]$, to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{0} \leq \frac{f\left(x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)}{x_{2}-x_{1}} \leq \gamma_{1}, \quad \text { if } a \leq x_{1}<x_{2} \leq b, \tag{4.1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{0}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \leq f\left(x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right) \leq \gamma_{1}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) . \tag{4.1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f:[a, b] \longrightarrow[\alpha . \beta] \text { is one-to-one. } \tag{4.1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The intermediate value theorem implies $f:[a, b] \longrightarrow[\alpha, \beta]$ is onto. Consequently $f$ has an inverse

$$
\begin{equation*}
g:[\alpha, \beta] \longrightarrow[a, b], \quad g(f(x))=x, \quad f(g(y))=y, \tag{4.1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (4.1.19) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}} \leq \frac{g\left(y_{2}\right)-g\left(y_{1}\right)}{y_{2}-y_{1}} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_{0}}, \quad \text { if } \alpha \leq y_{1}<y_{2} \leq \beta \tag{4.1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result is known as the inverse function theorem.
Theorem 4.1.3. If $f$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on $(a, b)$, and (4.1.17)(4.1.18) hold, then its inverse $g:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow[a, b]$ is differentiable on $(\alpha, \beta)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(y)=\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)}, \quad \text { for } y=f(x) \in(\alpha, \beta) \tag{4.1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same conclusion holds if in place of (4.1.18) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\gamma_{1} \leq f^{\prime}(x) \leq-\gamma_{0}<0, \quad \forall x \in(a, b) \tag{4.1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

except that then $\beta<\alpha$.
Proof. Fix $y \in(\alpha, \beta)$, and let $x=g(y)$, so $y=f(x)$. To say that $f$ is differentiable at $x$ is to say

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow x} \frac{f(x)-f(\xi)}{x-\xi}=f^{\prime}(x) \tag{4.1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now take $\eta=f(\xi)$, so $\xi=g(\eta)$, and note from (4.1.19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \rightarrow x \Longleftrightarrow \eta \rightarrow y . \tag{4.1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (4.1.18)-(4.1.19) and (4.1.23), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\eta \rightarrow y} \frac{g(y)-g(\eta)}{y-\eta}=\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)}, \tag{4.1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves (4.1.24).

Remark. If one knew that $g$ were differentiable, as well as $f$, then the identity (4.1.24) would follow by differentiating $g(f(x))=x$, and applying the chain rule. However, an additional argument, such as given above, is necessary to guarantee that $g$ is differentiable.

Comments on (4.1.33) and (4.1.34).
We start with the observation that, for $j, k, \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}, y>0$,

$$
y^{k m}=\left(y^{k}\right)^{m}, \quad \text { and } y^{j+k}=y^{j} y^{k} .
$$

Regarding (4.1.33), we have, for $x>0, k, n \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x^{1 / k n}\right)^{k m}=\left(x^{1 / n}\right)^{m} & \Longleftrightarrow\left(x^{1 / k n}\right)^{k}=x^{1 / n} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left(x^{1 / k n}\right)^{k n}=x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Regarding (4.1.34), we have, for $x>0, r=m / n, s=j / k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{r+s} & =x^{(k m+j n) / n k}=\left(x^{1 / n k}\right)^{k m+j n} \\
& =\left(x^{1 / n k}\right)^{k m}\left(x^{1 / n k}\right)^{j n}=x^{m / n} x^{j / k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

New exercise: alternative computation of $d x^{1 / n} / d x$.
11. Use the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{n}-w^{n}=(z-w)\left(z^{n-1}+z^{n-2} w+\cdots+w^{n-1}\right) \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
z=(x+h)^{1 / n}, \quad w=x^{1 / n} .
$$

Plug these values in, divide by $h$, and use the continuity of $f(x)=x^{1 / n}$ (cf. (4.1.42)) to take the limit as $h \rightarrow 0$, thereby obtaining

$$
\frac{d}{d x} x^{1 / n}=\frac{1}{n x^{(n-1) / n}}=\frac{1}{n} x^{(1 / n)-1}
$$

by a means different from (4.1.36). Note how the case $n=2$ is worked out in (4.1.37)-(4.1.41).
12. In the setting of Exercise 11 above, use $\left(^{*}\right)$ with

$$
z=x+h, \quad w=x
$$

to get another proof that

$$
\frac{d}{d x} x^{n}=n x^{n-1}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

## $\S 4.2 \mathrm{~A}$. One-sided derivatives, symmetric derivatives, and the fundamental theorem of calculus

If $I=(a, b)$ and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say $f$ is right-differentiable at $x \in I$, with right derivative $D_{r} f(x)$, provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \searrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}=D_{r} f(x) . \tag{2A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \searrow 0} \frac{f(x)-f(x-h)}{h}=D_{\ell} f(x) \tag{2A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines left differentiability.
For example, if we set

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f(x)=1, \quad \text { for } x \geq 0, \\
0, \quad \text { for } x<0, \tag{2A.3}
\end{array}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{r} f \equiv 0, \quad \text { and } D_{\ell} f(x)=0 \text { for } x \neq 0, \tag{2A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

but $f$ is not left-differentiable at $x=0$. This illustrates the fact that to get useful results from one-sided differentiability, we want to impose the condition that $f$ be continuous. We propose to prove the following.

Proposition 2A.1. Assume $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and right differentiable. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{r} f=g \in C(I) \Longrightarrow f \in C^{1}(I), \text { and } f^{\prime}=g . \tag{2A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A similar conclusion holds if $f$ is left-differentiable.)
To start the proof, we apply the fundamental theorem of calculus to produce $\varphi \in$ $C^{1}(I)$ such that $\varphi^{\prime}=g$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f-\varphi \in C(I), \quad \text { and } \quad D_{r}(f-\varphi) \equiv 0 . \tag{2A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusion (2A.5) follows from the assertion that, if (2A.6) holds, then $f-\varphi$ is constant. This in turn is a consequence of part (c) of the following.

Lemma 2A.2. Assume $f \in C(I)$ is right differentiable at each $x \in I$. Then
(a) $D_{r} f>0$ on $I \Longrightarrow f \nearrow$,
(b) $D_{r} f<0$ on $I \Longrightarrow f \searrow$,
(c) $\quad D_{r} f \equiv 0$ on $I \Longrightarrow f$ is constant.

Proof. We start with part (a). If $f$ is not $\nearrow$, then there exist $x_{0}<x_{1} \in(a, b)$ such that $f\left(x_{1}\right)<f\left(x_{0}\right)$. Now $\left.f\right|_{\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]}$ has a maximum, say at $\xi \in\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$. This maximum is not at $x_{0}$, since $D_{r} f\left(x_{0}\right)>0$. It is not at $x_{1}$, since $f\left(x_{1}\right)<f\left(x_{0}\right)$. Hence $f$ achieves a maximum at $\xi \in\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$. But $f$ maximal at $\xi \Rightarrow D_{r} f(\xi) \leq 0$. Contradiction.

The proof of (b) is similar.
Now for part (c). If $D_{r} f \equiv 0$, set $f_{\varepsilon}(x)=x+\varepsilon x$. For $\varepsilon>0$, part (a) implies $f_{\varepsilon} \nearrow$, hence (letting $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ ) $f \nearrow$. Also, part (b) implies $f_{\varepsilon} \searrow$ for $\varepsilon<0$, so (letting $\varepsilon \nearrow 0) f \searrow$. We have part (c).

We turn to the symmetric derivative. We say a function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is sdifferentiable at $x \in I$, with s-derivative $D_{s} f(x)$, provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x-h)}{2 h}=D_{s} f(x) . \tag{2A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, if $f(x)=|x|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{s} f(x)=-1 \text { for } x<0, \quad 0 \text { for } x=0, \quad 1 \text { for } x>0 . \tag{2A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result parallels Proposition 2A.1.
Proposition 2A.3. Assume $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and s-differentiable. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{s} f=g \in C(I) \Longrightarrow f \in C^{1}(I) \text { and } f^{\prime}=g . \tag{2A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in Proposition 2A.1, we pick $\varphi \in C^{1}(I)$ such that $\varphi^{\prime}=g$ and analyze $D_{s}(f-\varphi)$, obtaining (2A.9) as a consequence of the following.

Lemma 2A.4. Assume $f \in C(I)$ is s-differentiable at each $x \in I$. Then
(a) $D_{s} f>0$ on $I \Rightarrow f \nearrow$,
(b) $D_{s} f<0$ on $I \Rightarrow f \searrow$,
(c) $D_{s} f \equiv 0$ on $I \Rightarrow f$ is constant.

As in Lemma 2A.2, the proof of part (b) is similar to that of part (a), and part (c) follows from parts (a) and (b).

Proof of part (a). Pick $\alpha \in(a, b)$. We aim to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \geq f(\alpha), \quad \forall x \in(\alpha, b) \tag{2A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pick $\delta>0$. Then pick $\alpha_{1}>\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in\left[\alpha, \alpha_{1}\right] \Longrightarrow|f(x)-f(\alpha)| \leq \delta . \tag{2A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now set

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\min _{x \in\left[\alpha, \alpha_{1}\right]} f(x), \tag{2A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that $|A-f(\alpha)| \leq \delta$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A}=\left\{x \in\left[\alpha_{1}, b\right): f(x)<A\right\} \tag{2A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is open in $\left[\alpha_{1}, b\right)$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{s} f\left(\alpha_{1}\right)>0 \Longrightarrow f(x)>A \text { for } \alpha_{1}<x \leq \alpha_{1}+\varepsilon_{1} \tag{2A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ sufficiently small. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A} \text { is disjoint from }\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}\right] . \tag{2A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $S_{A} \neq \emptyset$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=\inf S_{A}, \quad \text { so } \quad x_{1} \in\left(a_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}, b\right) . \tag{2A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}\right)=A, \tag{2A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f(x) \geq A$ for $x<x_{1}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{s} f\left(x_{1}\right)>0 \Longrightarrow f\left(x_{1}+\varepsilon\right)>f\left(x_{1}\right), \text { for sufficiently small } \varepsilon>0 . \tag{2A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

But this says

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}+\varepsilon \notin S_{A} \tag{2A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for such $\varepsilon$. Hence in fact $S_{A}=\emptyset$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in[\alpha, b) \Longrightarrow f(x) \geq A \tag{2A.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $f(x) \geq f(\alpha)-\delta$, for all $\delta>0$, and this gives (2A.10), hence $f \nearrow$, as asserted.
$\S 4.2 \mathrm{~B}$. Another extension of the fundamental theorem of calculus: $F$ not differentiable everywhere.

One drawback to Proposition 4.2 .10 is that it requires the function $F$ to be differentiable on the entire interval $(a, b)$. Here is a natural extension.
Proposition 2B.1. With $I=[a, b]$, assume $F \in C(I)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x)-F(y)| \leq L|x-y|, \quad \forall x, y \in I \tag{2B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $L<\infty$. Let $g \in \mathcal{R}(I)$. Assume $K \subset I$ is compact, cont ${ }^{+} K=0$, and
(2B.2) $\quad F$ is differentiable on $\mathcal{O}=I \backslash K, \quad F^{\prime}=g$ on $\mathcal{O}$.
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} g(t) d t=F(b)-F(a) . \tag{2B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume $|g| \leq M$ on $I$. Pick $\varepsilon>0$. Cover $K$ by a finite number of open intervals, $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{n}$, with disjoint closures, such that $\sum_{k} \ell\left(J_{k}\right) \leq \varepsilon$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=I \backslash \bigcup_{k} J_{k} \tag{2B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $U \subset \mathcal{O}$. The set $U$ is a union of a finite number of closed intervals $I_{k}=\left[\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}\right]$. For each $I_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{k}} g(t) d t=F\left(\beta_{k}\right)-F\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \tag{2B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Proposition 4.2.10. Meanwhine,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} g(t) d t-\sum_{k} \int_{I_{k}} g(t) d t\right| \leq M \varepsilon \tag{2B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $J \subset I$ is an interval, with endpoints $\alpha$, $\beta$, write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta F(J)=F(\beta)-F(\alpha) \tag{2B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(b)-F(a)=\sum_{k} \Delta F\left(I_{k}\right)+\sum_{k} \Delta F\left(J_{k}\right) . \tag{2B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2B.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k} \int_{I_{k}} g(t) d t=\sum_{k} \Delta F\left(I_{k}\right) \tag{2B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{k} \Delta F\left(J_{k}\right)\right| \leq L \sum_{k} \ell\left(J_{k}\right) \leq L \varepsilon . \tag{2B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} g(t) d t-\{F(b)-F(a)\}\right| \leq M \varepsilon+L \varepsilon \tag{2B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ gives (2B.3).

Remark 1. In the setting of Proposition 2B.1, we have, for each $x \in I$,

$$
\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t=F(x)-F(a) .
$$

We say $F$ is an antiderivative of $g$.
Remark 2. As mentioned in the text, the Lebesgue theory yields substantially stronger versions of the fundamental theorem of calculus. However, Proposition 2B. 1 applies to many more interesting cases than does Proposition 4.2.10.

Example 1. Take $I=[-1,1]$,

$$
F(t)=|t|, \quad g(t)=\operatorname{sgn} t
$$

Example 2. Take $I=[0,1], \mathcal{K} \subset I$ the Cantor middle third set, and take

$$
F(t)=\operatorname{dist}(t, \mathcal{K})
$$

Then Proposition 2B. 1 applies with $K=\mathcal{K} \cup\left\{p_{k}\right\}$, where $p_{k}$ are the midpoints of the intervals $I_{k}$ that make up $I \backslash \mathcal{K}$, and $g(t)=+1$ on the left half of each such interval, and -1 on the right half.

See comments on $\S 4.6$ for further results.

### 4.3. Solution to Exercise 8.

The following exercise deals with a numerical evaluation of $\sqrt{2}$.
8. Note that

$$
\sqrt{2}=2 \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Expand the right side in a power series, using (4.3.28)-(4.3.29). How many terms suffice to approximate $\sqrt{2}$ to 12 digits?

Solution. Using (4.3.28)-(4.3.29) with $r=-1 / 2$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1-t}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k}}{k!} t^{k}, \quad|t|<1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
a_{0}=1, \quad a_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}, \quad a_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}, \quad a_{k}=-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdots\left(k-\frac{3}{2}\right) .
$$

By (4.3.30), we have a convenient iteration,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{k}}{k!}=\alpha_{k}, \quad \alpha_{0}=1, \quad \alpha_{k+1}=\frac{k-\frac{1}{2}}{k+1} \alpha_{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next want to estimate the remainder

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1-t}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{k} t^{k}+R_{n}(t), \quad \text { with } t=\frac{1}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As seen in (4.3.50), the Lagrange remainder formula does not provide an adequate estimate. Instead, the Cauchy remainder formula gives, by (4.3.54),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|t|<1 \Longrightarrow\left|R_{n}(t)\right| \leq\left|b_{n}\right| \cdot|t|^{n+1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in this case, where, by (4.3.45), $b_{n}=a_{n+1} / n$ !, in particular

$$
b_{0}=-\frac{1}{2}, \quad b_{1}=-\frac{1}{4} .
$$

By (4.3.46),

$$
\frac{b_{n+1}}{b_{n}}=\frac{n+\frac{1}{2}}{n+1}
$$

so $n \geq 1 \Rightarrow\left|b_{n}\right| \leq 1 / 4$. We have

$$
\left|R_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2^{-n-1}=2^{-n-3}
$$

so the error $E_{n}$ in evaluating $\sqrt{2}$ satisfies

$$
E_{n} \leq 2^{-n-2}
$$

Since $2^{10}=1024$, we have

$$
E_{40} \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot 10^{-12}, \quad E_{38} \leq 10^{-12}
$$

Remark 1. Further computation reveals

$$
\left|b_{32}\right| \leq \frac{1}{20}
$$

and hence

$$
E_{36} \leq 10^{-12}
$$

Remark 2. Here is an alternative approach, which yields a sharper result.
We know that (1) holds, with coefficients $\alpha_{k}$ whose absolute values, by (2), are monotonically decreasing. Hence, in (3), we can take

$$
R_{n}(t)=\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} t^{k}, \quad|t|<1
$$

and deduce that

$$
\left|R_{n}(t)\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{n+1}\right| \frac{|t|^{n+1}}{1-|t|},
$$

and in particular

$$
\left|R_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{n+1}\right| 2^{-n} .
$$

Now $\left|\alpha_{n+1}\right|=\left|b_{n}\right| /(n+1)$, and an estimate on $\left|b_{31}\right|$ gives

$$
\left|\alpha_{32}\right| \leq \frac{1}{600}
$$

hence

$$
E_{32} \leq \frac{1}{600} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{-30} \leq 10^{-12}
$$

Remark 3. Exercises 9 and 11 deal with some much more rapid approximations to $\sqrt{2}$, some using power series, some using another method.

## $\S 4.4$. Remark on the calculation of $C^{\prime}(t)$

In (4.4.33)-(4.4.39) we examine $C(t)=(\cos t, \sin t)$. We apply $d / d t$ to the identity $C(t) \cdot C(t) \equiv 1$ and use the fact that also $\left\|C^{\prime}(t)\right\| \equiv 1$ to deduce in (4.4.37)-(4.4.38) that, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\prime}(t)=(\sin t,-\cos t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\prime}(t)=(-\sin t, \cos t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then argue that actually only (2) can hold.
To add a detail to that argument, note that since both sides of (1) and (2) are continuous in $t$, the set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ of $t$ for which (1) holds and the set $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ of $t$ such that (2) holds are both closed. Of course, $A$ and $B$ are disjoint. Since $\mathbb{R}$ is connected, one of them must be empty. Now, by (4.4.33), we have $0 \in B$, so in fact $A=\emptyset$, and (2) holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

## Typo in (4.4.54).

In (4.4.54), change $x(t)=\rho(t) \sin t$ to $x(t)=\rho(t) \cos t$, as in (4.4.51).
Note. The point of (4.4.54) is to derive the formula (4.4.56) for arc length in polar coordinates. See the neater formulation in this supplement, concerning $\S 4.5$, exercise 57 .

## §4.5. Extensions of exercises 7, 45, and 56, and addition of exercise 57.

7. Show that

$$
\frac{\pi}{6}=\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}
$$

Use (4.4.27)-(4.4.31) to obtain a rapidly convergent infinite series for $\pi$.
Hint. Show that $\sin \pi / 6=1 / 2$. Use Exercise 2 and the identity $e^{\pi i / 6}=e^{\pi i / 2} e^{-\pi i / 3}$.
Note that $a_{k}$ in (4.4.29)-(4.4.31) satisfies $a_{k+1}=(k+1 / 2) a_{k}$. Deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k}}{2 k+1}, \quad b_{0}=3, \quad b_{k+1}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{2 k+1}{2 k+2} b_{k} \tag{4.5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $b_{k} \leq 3 \cdot 4^{-k}$. Deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{pi}(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{b_{k}}{2 k+1} \Longrightarrow 0<\pi-\operatorname{pi}(n)<\frac{1}{n+1} 2^{-2 n-1} . \tag{4.5.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi-\mathrm{pi}(3)<\frac{1}{500}, \quad \pi-\mathrm{pi}(5)<10^{-4}, \quad \pi-\mathrm{pi}(20)<10^{-13} . \tag{4.5.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Compute $\mathrm{pi}(3)$ and $\mathrm{pi}(5)$ by hand, and show that

$$
\pi \approx 3.14, \quad \text { and then } \pi \approx 3.1416
$$

Use a calculator or computer to evaluate pi(20), and verify that

$$
\pi \approx 3.1415926535897 \cdots
$$

Revised version of Exercise
45. Evaluate

$$
I(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{d y}{1+y^{2}}
$$

in two ways.
(a) Set $y=\tan t$ to obtain

$$
I(x)=\tan ^{-1} x
$$

(b) Set $y=\sinh u$ to obtain

$$
I(x)=\int_{0}^{\sinh ^{-1} x} \frac{d u}{\cosh u}
$$

Comparing these results, obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{x} \frac{d u}{\cosh u}=\tan ^{-1}(\sinh x) .
$$

45A. Evaluate

$$
I(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{1+y^{2}} d y
$$

in two ways.
(a) Set $y=\sinh u\left(z=\sinh ^{-1} x\right)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(x) & =\int_{0}^{z} \cosh ^{2} u d u \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{z}\left(e^{2 u}+2+e^{-2 u}\right) d u \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \sinh 2 z+\frac{1}{2} z
\end{aligned}
$$

Use $\sinh 2 z=2 \sinh z \cosh z$ to obtain

$$
I(x)=\frac{1}{2} x \sqrt{1+x^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \sinh ^{-1} x .
$$

(b) Set $y=\tan t$ to obatin

$$
I(x)=\int_{0}^{\tan ^{-1} x} \sec ^{3} t d t
$$

Compare these results, to obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \sec ^{3} s d s=I(\tan t)=\frac{1}{2} \sec t \tan t+\frac{1}{2} \sinh ^{-1} \tan t
$$

Compare results of Exercises 13-14.
56. In the implementation of the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
n! & >e^{(n+1 / 2) \log (n+1 / 2)} e^{-(n+1 / 2)} e^{-(3 / 2) \log (3 / 2)} e^{3 / 2} \\
& =\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n}\left[e\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}\right] \sqrt{n} e^{(n+1 / 2) \log (1+1 / 2 n)},
\end{aligned}
$$

we never did make use of the estimate

$$
\log (1+\delta)>\delta-\frac{1}{2} \delta^{2}
$$

valid for $0<\delta<1$. Actually, we might prefer

$$
(1+\delta) \log (1+\delta)>\delta
$$

Bring this in, and show that

$$
n!>\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n}\left(\frac{2 e}{3}\right)^{3 / 2} \sqrt{n}
$$

We have

$$
\left(\frac{2 e}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}<\sqrt{2 \pi}<e
$$

Compute each of these three quantities to 5 digits of accuracy.

## Back to arc length

57. Looking at the analysis of a curve $\gamma$ given in polar coordinates by $r=\rho(\theta)$, as in (4.4.50)-(4.4.51), show that you can replace (4.4.54)-(4.4.55) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(t)=\rho(t) e^{i t} & \Rightarrow \gamma^{\prime}(t)=\left[\rho^{\prime}(t)+i \rho(t)\right] e^{i t} \\
& \Rightarrow\left|\gamma^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}=\rho^{\prime}(t)^{2}+\rho(t)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and rederive the arc length formula (4.4.56).

## $\S 4.6 \mathrm{~A}$. Antiderivatives of unbounded integrable functions.

In $\S 4.6$ we extend the space $\mathcal{R}(I)$ of Riemann integrable functions (necessarily bounded) on an interval $I=[a, b]$ to a class of unbounded integrable functions, denoted $\mathcal{R}^{\#}(I)$, defined in (4.6.3)-(4.6.12). Here we provide results that allow one to extend the fundamental theorem of calculus to deal with integrands in $\mathcal{R}^{\#}(I)$.
Lemma 6A.1. Take $I=[a, b], g \in \mathcal{R}^{\#}(I)$. Then

$$
F(x)=\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t \Longrightarrow F \in C(I)
$$

Proof. Exercise.
Proposition 6A.2. Let $g, g_{k} \in \mathcal{R}^{\#}(I)$, and set

$$
F_{k}(x)=\int_{a}^{x} g_{k}(t) d t, \quad F(x)=\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t .
$$

Then

$$
\left\|g-g_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(I)} \rightarrow 0 \Longrightarrow F_{k} \rightarrow F, \text { uniformly on } I
$$

Proof. One has

$$
\left|F_{k}(x)-F(x)\right| \leq\left\|g_{k}-g\right\|_{L^{1}(I)}, \quad \forall x \in I .
$$

Corollary 6A.3. Let $g, g_{k} \in \mathcal{R}^{\#}(I)$. Set

$$
F_{k}(x)=\int_{a}^{x} g_{k}(t) d t
$$

Then

$$
\left\|g-g_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(I)} \rightarrow 0, \quad F_{k}(x) \rightarrow F(x), \forall x \in I \Longrightarrow \int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t=F(x) .
$$

Example. Take $I=[-1,1], r \in(0,1)$,

$$
g(t)=|t|^{-r}, \quad F(t)=\frac{1}{1-r}|t|^{1-r}(\operatorname{sgn} t) .
$$

Then

$$
\int_{-1}^{x} g(t) d t=F(x)-F(-1) .
$$

New exercises: $\log \left(1+x^{-2}\right) \in \mathcal{R}^{\#}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.
17. Define $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)=\log \left(1+\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right)
$$

Show that $f \in \mathcal{R}^{\#}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.
Hint. Examine $f$ separately on $(0,1]$ and on $[1, \infty)$.
18. Following up Exercise 6, write

$$
\int \log \left(x^{2}+1\right) d x=x \log \left(x^{2}+1\right)+G(x)
$$

and compute $G^{\prime}(x)=-2 x^{2} /\left(x^{2}+1\right)$, to get

$$
G(x)=-2 x+2 \tan ^{-1} x .
$$

Deduce that

$$
\int \log \left(1+\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right) d x=x \log \left(1+\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right)+2 \tan ^{-1} x
$$

and hence that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \log \left(1+\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right) d x=\pi
$$

## Chapter 5. Further topics in Analysis

§5.5. Revised exercises on Newton's method.
Implement Newton's method to get approximate solutions to the following equations.
2. $e^{x}=2$.
3. $\tan x=x, \quad \pi<x<\frac{3}{2} \pi$.

