

Variant of Schur's Inequality

MICHAEL TAYLOR

Let A be a complex $n \times n$ matrix; we write $A \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$. A theorem of Schur implies one can write

$$(1) \quad A = D + N,$$

where, in some orthonormal basis, D is diagonal and N is strictly upper triangular. The diagonal entries of D are the eigenvalues λ_k of A , repeated according to multiplicity, so

$$(2) \quad \sum |\lambda_k|^2 = \|D\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \|A\|_{\text{HS}}^2 - \|N\|_{\text{HS}}^2.$$

Here $\|A\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \text{Tr}(A^*A)$ is the square Hilbert-Schmidt norm of A . In particular, we have

$$(3) \quad \sum |\lambda_k|^2 \leq \|A\|_{\text{HS}}^2,$$

a result known as Schur's inequality.

As noted in [D], this can be applied to estimate the roots λ_k of a monic polynomial $z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$, since these roots coincide with the eigenvalues of the companion matrix

$$(4) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & \cdots & -a_{n-2} & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain from (3) that

$$(5) \quad \sum |\lambda_k|^2 \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |a_j|^2 + (n-1).$$

Note that in going from (2) to (3) you lose something, namely $\|N\|_{\text{HS}}^2$. Now one has $N = 0$ if and only if A is normal, i.e., if and only if $A^*A = AA^*$. The matrices of the form (4) are far from normal. Our goal here is to estimate $\|N\|_{\text{HS}}$ from below in terms of $[A^*, A]$ and improve (3). We will establish the following.

Proposition 1. *If $\{\lambda_k : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ are the eigenvalues of $A \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$, counted with multiplicity, then*

$$(6) \quad \sum |\lambda_k|^2 \leq \frac{\|A\|_{\text{HS}}^2}{1 + \varphi(\xi(A))^2},$$

where

$$(7) \quad \xi(A) = \frac{\|[A^*, A]\|_{\text{HS}}}{2\|A\|_{\text{HS}}^2}, \quad \varphi(x) = (1+x)^{1/2} - 1.$$

In light of the elementary estimate

$$(8) \quad \|XY\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \|X\|_{\text{HS}}\|Y\|_{\text{HS}},$$

we have

$$(9) \quad 0 \leq \xi(A) \leq 1$$

for all nonzero $A \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$. Note that $\varphi(x)$ is smooth and monotonically increasing in $x \in [0, 1]$, with

$$(10) \quad \varphi(0) = 0, \quad \varphi(1) = \sqrt{2} - 1 \approx 0.414.$$

Wanting to estimate $\|N\|_{\text{HS}}$ from below, we proceed to estimate $\|[A^*, A]\|_{\text{HS}}$ from above. Note that

$$(11) \quad [A^*, A] = [N^*, D] + [\bar{D}, N] + [N^*, N].$$

Using (8) and the triangle inequality $\|X + Y\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \|X\|_{\text{HS}} + \|Y\|_{\text{HS}}$, we obtain

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \|[A^*, A]\|_{\text{HS}} &\leq 2\|[\bar{D}, N]\|_{\text{HS}} + \|[N^*, N]\|_{\text{HS}} \\ &\leq 4\|D\|_{\text{HS}}\|N\|_{\text{HS}} + 2\|N\|_{\text{HS}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(13) \quad \|N\|_{\text{HS}}^2 + 2\|D\|_{\text{HS}}\|N\|_{\text{HS}} \geq \frac{1}{2}\|[A^*, A]\|_{\text{HS}}.$$

Completing the square on the left side of (13) gives

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|N\|_{\text{HS}} &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\|[A^*, A]\|_{\text{HS}} + \|D\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right)^{1/2} - \|D\|_{\text{HS}} \\ &= \|D\|_{\text{HS}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\|[A^*, A]\|_{\text{HS}}}{2\|D\|_{\text{HS}}^2} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right] \\ &\geq \|D\|_{\text{HS}} \varphi(\xi(A)), \end{aligned}$$

with φ and $\xi(A)$ as in (7), the last inequality holding because $\|D\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \leq \|A\|_{\text{HS}}^2$. Recalling (2), we have

$$(15) \quad \|D\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \leq \|A\|_{\text{HS}}^2 - \|D\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \varphi(\xi(A))^2,$$

which gives the asserted estimate (6).

REMARK. One loses something in passing to the last inequality in (14). If we stop before that, we obtain

$$(16) \quad \|N\|_{\text{HS}} \geq \|D\|_{\text{HS}} \varphi\left(\frac{K}{2\|D\|_{\text{HS}}^2}\right), \quad K = \|[A^*, A]\|_{\text{HS}},$$

which leads to

$$(17) \quad \left(1 + \varphi\left(\frac{K}{2\|D\|_{\text{HS}}^2}\right)^2 \right) \|D\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \leq \|A\|_{\text{HS}}^2.$$

As an improvement over (3), this is sharper than (6)–(7), though less explicit.

Reference

[D] E. Deutsch, Solution II to Problem #11008, American Math. Monthly 112 (2005), p. 92.