

The Euclidean Algorithm and $S\ell(2, \mathbb{Z})$

MICHAEL TAYLOR

Take $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $b < a$. The Euclidean algorithm computes

$$(A) \quad \gamma = \gcd(a, b)$$

and produces $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(B) \quad ax + by = \gamma.$$

We recall how this works and draw conclusions about the discrete group $S\ell(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

To start, set

$$(1) \quad a = k_1 b + a_1, \quad a_1, k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad 0 \leq a_1 < b.$$

We have

$$(2) \quad \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(a_1, b).$$

Also

$$(3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a - k_1 b \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -k_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $a_1 = 0$, stop. If $a_1 > 0$, write

$$(4) \quad b = \ell_1 a_1 + b_1, \quad b_1, \ell_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad 0 \leq b_1 < a_1.$$

Then

$$(5) \quad \gcd(a_1, b_1) = \gcd(a_1, b) = \gcd(a, b),$$

and

$$(6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b - \ell_1 a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\ell_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\ell_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -k_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $a_1 = 0$, just set $\ell_1 = 0$, $b_1 = b$.

Now apply this process to the new pair (a_1, b_1) . Continue until you get

$$(7) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_N \\ b_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\ell_N & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -k_N \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\ell_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -k_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix},$$

1

with either $a_N = 0$ or $b_N = 0$. The right side of (7) has the form

$$(8) \quad A_N \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_N \in S\ell(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We hence have

$$(9) \quad A_N \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \gcd(a, b).$$

Equivalently,

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \text{one column of } A_N^{-1}.$$

Note that

$$(11) \quad A_N^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \ell_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k_N \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \ell_N & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in S\ell(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

In fact, each $k_j, \ell_j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If, for example, (10) is the first column of A_N^{-1} , we have

$$(12) \quad A_N^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a/\gamma & -y \\ b/\gamma & x \end{pmatrix}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 = \det A_N^{-1} = \frac{1}{\gamma}(ax + by),$$

yielding (B). A similar calculation holds if (10) is the second column of A_N^{-1} .

Using the calculations done above, we can establish the following.

Proposition 1. *The group $S\ell(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the two elements*

$$(13) \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Denote by G the subgroup of $S\ell(2, \mathbb{Z})$ generated by U and L . Take

$$(14) \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in S\ell(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

For now, we treat X under the additional hypothesis that

$$(14A) \quad 0 < b < a.$$

We have $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, and calculations yielding (10)–(11) apply, with $\gamma = 1$. Since

$$(15) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = U^k, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \ell & 1 \end{pmatrix} = L^\ell,$$

we see that $A_N^{-1} \in G$.

Suppose $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ is the left column of A_N^{-1} , so

$$(16) \quad A_N^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -y \\ b & x \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$(17) \quad X \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = A_N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix},$$

hence

$$(18) \quad A_N X \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so } A_N X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi \\ 0 & \eta \end{pmatrix}, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $\det A_N X = 1$, $\eta = 1$, so

$$(19) \quad X = A_N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G.$$

On the other hand, if $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ is the right column of A_N^{-1} , so

$$(20) \quad A_N^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} y & a \\ -x & b \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$(21) \quad X \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = A_N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix},$$

so

$$(22) \quad A_N X \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so } A_N X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi \\ 1 & \eta \end{pmatrix}, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $\det A_N X = 1$, $\xi = -1$, so

$$(23) \quad X = A_N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & \eta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof that $X \in G$ (under the hypothesis (14A)) is finished off by a calculation yielding

$$(24) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & \eta \end{pmatrix} \in G, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which which we will attend to presently.

At this point, to prove Proposition 1 we have two tasks remaining. One is to establish (24), and the other is to remove the extra hypothesis (14A) on X .

To this end, we record some general facts about $S\ell(2, \mathbb{Z})$, its special elements U and L , and another special element,

$$(25) \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J^2 = -I, \quad J^3 = -J = J^{-1}.$$

A calculation gives the following extension of (15),

$$(26) \quad U^k L^\ell = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + k\ell & k \\ \ell & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$(27) \quad JU = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = U^{-1}L,$$

so

$$(28) \quad J = U^{-1}LU^{-1} \in G.$$

Hence, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(29) \quad JU^k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & k \end{pmatrix} \in G,$$

and we have (24).

Hence indeed $X \in G$ whenever $X \in S\ell(2, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfies (14A).

We also note that, by (28),

$$(30) \quad -I = J^2 \in G, \quad \text{so } X \in G \Leftrightarrow -X \in G.$$

Furthermore, since $U^t = L$,

$$(31) \quad X \in G \iff X^t \in G.$$

Moving beyond (14A), we see that if X is as in (14),

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} b = 0 &\implies a = c = \pm 1, \text{ so } \pm X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &\implies X \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$(33) \quad b < 0 \implies -X = \begin{pmatrix} -a & -c \\ -b & -d \end{pmatrix},$$

and (30) holds, so it suffices to show that

$$(34) \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in Sl(2, \mathbb{Z}), \quad b > 0 \implies X \in G.$$

Indeed, for such X ,

$$(35) \quad U^k X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + kb & c + kd \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} & \tilde{c} \\ \tilde{b} & \tilde{d} \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{X},$$

and if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough, $b > 0 \implies \tilde{a} > \tilde{b} > 0$, and we are in the situation covered by (14A). The argument in the first part of the proof of Proposition 1 implies $\tilde{X} \in G$, hence

$$(36) \quad X = U^{-k} \tilde{X} \in G,$$

and we are done with the proof of Proposition 1.

Here is another identity connecting U, L , and J :

$$(37) \quad JUJ^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = L^{-1}.$$

This leads to the following complement to Proposition 1.

Corollary 2. *The group $Sl(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the two elements*

$$(38) \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For an alternative proof, note that (27) implies

$$(39) \quad UJU = L.$$